

**Ohio State Dental Board
Board Meeting
February 14th and 15th, 2001**

| | |
|---|----|
| CALL TO ORDER..... | 2 |
| INTRODUCATION OF NEW BOARD MEMBER..... | 2 |
| EXECUTIVE SESSION..... | 2 |
| OPEN SESSION..... | 2 |
| REVIEW OF THE JANUARY 2001 BOARD MEETING MINUTES..... | 2 |
| REVIEW OF LICENSE APPLICATION(S)..... | 3 |
| REGIONAL BOARD DENTAL EXAMINATION(S)..... | 3 |
| DENTAL ASSISTANT RADIOGRAPHER APPLICATION(S)..... | 3 |
| CRITERIA APPROVAL INTERVIEW(S)..... | 5 |
| Marian B. Gund Roy, R.D.H. | 5 |
| REINSTATEMENT INTERVIEW(S)..... | 5 |
| Michelle S. Keister, R.D.H. | 5 |
| ENFORCEMENT REPORT..... | 6 |
| ENFORCEMENT REPORT..... | 6 |
| PERSONAL APPEARANCE(S)..... | 8 |
| Fred S. Glick, D.D.S. | 8 |
| Tom Smith, Investigator..... | 8 |
| Fred S. Glick, D.D.S. | 8 |
| REVIEW OF CASES WHEREIN NOTICE(S) OF OPPORTUNITY WERE ISSUED AND NO HEARING WAS REQUESTED..... | 10 |
| Reginald A. Gibson, D.D.S. | 10 |
| Executive Session..... | 14 |
| Open Session..... | 14 |
| Decision in the matter of Reginald A. Gibson, DDS..... | 14 |
| REVIEW OF ORDER(S) OF DISMISSAL..... | 15 |
| REVIEW OF PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENT(S)..... | 16 |
| REVIEW OF NOTICE(S) OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING..... | 17 |
| ADJOURN..... | 18 |
| MEETING RESUMED..... | 18 |
| CALL TO ORDER..... | 19 |
| ANESTHESIA REPORT..... | 19 |
| REVIEW OF TEMPORARY ANESTHESIA AND CONSCIOUS SEDATION PRIVLEGES..... | 19 |
| REVIEW OF ANESTHESIA PERMIT APPLICATION(S)..... | 19 |
| REVIEW OF CONSCIOUS SEDATION PERMIT APPLICATION(S)..... | 20 |
| REVIEW OF CONSCIOUS SEDATION AND ANESTHESIA CONTINUING EDUCATION COURSE(S)..... | 20 |
| EDUCATION COMMITTEE REPORT..... | 21 |

| | |
|---|----|
| REVIEW OF BIENNIAL CONTINUING EDUCATION SPONSOR APPLICATION(S) | 21 |
| REVIEW OF BIENNIAL CONTINUING EDUCATION SPONSOR RENEWAL APPLICATION(S) | 21 |
| REVIEW OF DENTAL HYGIENE MEDICAL EMERGENCY RECOGNITION COURSE APPLICATION(S)..... | 22 |
| REVIEW OF SPECIAL REQUEST(S)..... | 22 |
| LAW AND RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT..... | 23 |
| POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT | 25 |
| TREATMENT CENTERS APPROVAL COMMITTEE REPORT | 25 |
| CLEVELAND CLINIC..... | 25 |
| WAIVER COMMITTEE REPORT | 26 |
| SECRETARY'S EXPENSE REPORT..... | 26 |
| OFFICE EXPENSE REPORT | 26 |
| EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT..... | 27 |
| BUDGET..... | 27 |
| PROFESSIONAL MEDIA RELATIONS WORKSHOP..... | 28 |
| ETHICS FORMS..... | 28 |
| CORRESPONDENCE | 28 |
| ANYTHING FOR THE GOOD OF THE BOARD | 29 |
| ACCESS TO CARE..... | 29 |
| NEW ANESTHESIA CONSULTANT..... | 30 |
| PRESENTATION BY LYNDA SABAT, RDH..... | 31 |
| ADJOURN | 31 |
| APPENDIX A..... | 32 |

**Ohio State Dental Board
Board Meeting
February 14th and 15th, 2001**

M I N U T E S

The Ohio State Dental Board (Board) met in room 1948 on the 19th floor of *The Vern Riffe Center for Government and the Arts*, 77 South High Street, Columbus, Ohio on Wednesday, February 14th, 2001, beginning at 3:00 p.m. Board members present were:

Stuart Silverman, D.D.S., *Vice-President*
William J. Lightfoot, D.D.S., *Secretary*
Eleanore Awadalla, D.D.S.
Scott Borgemenke
Edward R. Hills, D.D.S.
T. Michael Murphy, D.D.S.
Lynda L. Sabat, R.D.H.

The following guests were also in attendance: Mary Crawford, Esq. and Robert Angell, Esq., Assistant Attorneys General; Dennis Burns, D.D.S., and Stacy Wendt of the *Ohio Dental Association* (ODA); Linda Hewetson, R.D.H. of the *Ohio Dental Hygienists' Association* (ODHA); Lili C. Reitz, Esq., Executive Director, Suzanne Curry, Licensing Coordinator, Malynda Franks, Executive Secretary and other guests.

CALL TO ORDER

Dr. Silverman extended greetings to everyone and noting that there was a quorum present called the meeting to order at 3:10 p.m.

INTRODUCTION OF NEW BOARD MEMBER

Dr. Silverman stated that before the Board began the regular portion of the agenda he would like to take a moment to introduce and welcome the newest member of the Board, Dr. T. Michael Murphy, an oral and maxillofacial surgeon from Marion, Ohio. He stated that Dr. Murphy is completing the remainder of Dr. Demkee's term.

Dr. Silverman stated that Dr. Murphy is not a new face to the Board as he has served as the Board's Anesthesia Consultant for numerous years and has been instrumental in developing the applications we now use to grant anesthesia and sedation

permits to our licensees. He stated that the Board looks forward to working with Dr. Murphy in this new capacity.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Dr. Awadalla, to move the Board into executive session pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 121.22(G)(1) to confer with counsel on matters that are the subject of pending or imminent court action.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Silverman invited Ms. Crawford, Mr. Angell, and Ms. Reitz to attend the executive session.

OPEN SESSION

At 3:47 p.m., the Board resumed open session. Dr. Silverman approved the agenda for the evening as presented with the notation that the Chair may modify the agenda due to timing constraints or extenuating circumstances.

REVIEW OF THE JANUARY 2001 BOARD MEETING MINUTES

The Board reviewed the minutes of the January 17th and 18th, 2001 Board meeting.

Motion by Dr. Lightfoot, second by Dr. Awadalla, to approve the January Board meeting minutes as presented.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REVIEW OF LICENSE APPLICATION(S)

REGIONAL BOARD DENTAL EXAMINATION(S)

The following persons applied for dental licenses, based on successful completion of the *North East Regional Board of Dental Examiners, Inc.* examination, National Board examination, and the Ohio examination on the statute and regulations:

Dr. Annabel M.H. Braganza
Dr. Robert L. DeShong, II
Dr. Rupinder K. Dhadli
Dr. Rae A. Huly-Shuler

Dr. Salvia G. Javidan-Nejad
Dr. Robert G. McNeill
Dr. Eugenia A. Sarris
Dr. Michele L. White

Motion by Dr. Lightfoot, second by Dr. Awadalla, to approve these three (3) regional board dental license applications.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

DENTAL ASSISTANT RADIOGRAPHER APPLICATION(S)

The Board executive office reviewed the applications for the dental assistant radiographer licenses, and all applicants were found to have submitted satisfactory proof of certification for the *Dental Assisting National Board (DANB)*, or the *Ohio Commission on Dental Assistant Certification*, or licensure or certification from a similar state board, or have completed an initial training course and subsequently passed the DANB Radiology Health and Safety examination. A list of candidates follows:

Jennifer M. Adkins
Carrie A. Amann
Christie S. Arnett
Tarra J. Ashford
Clysta L. Barnes
Evangeline W. Barton
Tammie M. Bell
Reva D. Bennett
Amy B. Borchers
Debbie M. Bradburn

Donna L. Bradley
Amy L. Bricking
Carol M. Briggs
Elizabeth C. Browning
Valerie J. Bryant
Laquita N. Catney
Tamika N. Clarkson
Christine A. Cole
Nancy E. Comer
Diane L. Donovan

Rhonda R. Dougher
Michele A. Dransman
Kelly A. Drazil
Peggy A. Evans
Amy S. Fortman
Karen E. Friend
Nikolay Gatalyak
Suzanne E. Geary
Antuane E. Glover
Donna J. Gordon
Latonka R. Gore
Alison L. Greene
Rhonda L. Hagenbarth
Linda J. Hamsher
Patrice D. Harrison
Brandon W. Henderson-Adams
Jessica H. Henry
Heather A. Hinojosa
Cindy D. Hosey
Jennifer K. Jenkins
Laura E. Jewell
Constance A. Johnson
Vicki Jurgens
Nancy C. Kelbel
Penny L. Kincheloe
Kelly L. King
Karisma J. Kirtley
Kristina K. Kosch
Karen L. Krall
Sandra S. Lapping
Susan M. Linaburg
Connie S. Long
Veronica M. Lusk
Heather J. Lynn
Kresta J. Martini
Dawn R. Matola

Manisha A. Mehta
Tracy M. Meldon
Sharon A. Merz
Inna Mogilevsky
Teresa A. Monica
Martha L. Olah
Kimberly A. Olson
Michelle M. Pacholski
Jennifer C. Paghi
Heather M. Palmer
Joanna B. Perler
Janis M. Pesicka
Shannon D. Priddy
Coral J. Reed
Darlene J. Richow
Rena G. Ring
Robin M. Rogg
Jill Rybicki
Pamela M. Sakacsi
Vicki M. Schwartz
Lisa M. Simon
Vicki L. Simpson
Stacie M. Sissom
Leslie M. Sizemore
Linda D. Spriggs
Melissa A. Starcovic
Jason m. Stroom
Shawna L. Swanbeck
Marilyn J. Szuplak
Alicia M. Ulinski
Brooke N. Vining
Jaclyn N. Wagers
Mary Lynn Wiener
Christyl L. Wilhelm'
Lanae M. Woods

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve these ninety-one (91) dental assistant radiographer applications.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Silverman took a moment to introduce the Board. He introduced himself as a general dentist from Cincinnati and the Vice-President of the Ohio State Dental Board. He then introduced the other Board members: Dr. William J. Lightfoot, the Board Secretary, an orthodontist from Columbus, Dr. Eleanore Awadalla, a general dentist from Toledo, Ms. Lynda Sabat, the Board's dental hygiene member from Brecksville, Dr. Edward Hills, a general dentist from Cleveland, Mr. Scott Borgemenke, the public member of the Board from Columbus, and Dr. T. Michael Murphy, an oral and maxillofacial surgeon from Marion.

CRITERIA APPROVAL INTERVIEW(S)

Marian B. Gund Roy, R.D.H.

The Board interviews began with Mary B. Gund Roy, R.D.H. a 1984 graduate of *Marquette University* in Milwaukee, Wisconsin, who has passed the *Central Regional Dental Testing Service* (CRDTS) examination and is licensed in the states of Wisconsin, Minnesota, Arkansas and Indiana. Ms. Gund Roy explained to the Board that her husband works for *GE Corporation* and that due to his career moves she has had to obtain licensure in various states. She stated that she last actively practiced dental hygiene in Minnesota until July 2000 prior to moving to the Cincinnati area. Ms. Gund Roy stated that she holds current CPR certification.

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve Ms. Gund Roy's criteria approval application for licensure as a dental hygienist in the state of Ohio.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REINSTATEMENT INTERVIEW(S)

Michelle S. Keister, R.D.H.

The final applicant for interview with the Board was Michelle S. Keister, R.D.H., a dental hygienist whose license was suspended for failure to renew in 1988. Ms. Keister explained that she had practiced dental hygiene in Ohio for a short time prior to meeting

and marrying her husband. She stated that they had moved to Pennsylvania where she continued to practice there full-time until the summer of 1999. Ms. Keister informed the Board that she holds current CPR certification and also has completed twenty (20) hours of continuing education as required to maintain her Pennsylvania dental hygiene license.

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve Ms. Keister's reinstatement application for licensure as a dental hygienist in the state of Ohio.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

ENFORCEMENT REPORT

ENFORCEMENT REPORT

Mr. Smith informed the Board that there were currently five (5) cases pending hearings and currently three (3) cases under appeal. Mr. Smith continued by informing the Board that there were three (3) cases pending the Hearing Examiner's Report, ten (10) licensees currently under suspension, eight (8) dentists, one (1) dental hygienist and one (1) dental assistant radiographer. He stated that there were thirty-nine (39) cases that had been investigated and reviewed by the Board Secretary and recommended to be closed of which two (2) would be sent warning letters. Mr. Smith stated that additionally there were some Orders of Dismissal and Proposed Consents for the Board to review.

Due to the requirement in Chapter 4715.03(D) of the Ohio Revised Code, that "The board shall not dismiss any complaint or terminate any investigation except by a majority vote of its members,..." Mr. Smith reviewed the cases to be closed with the Board.

The following cases are to be closed:

| | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 00-77-052 | 00-25-596 | 00-25-640 | 00-50-694 |
| 00-18-412 | 00-43-604 | 00-31-644 | 00-76-693 |
| 00-18-427 | 00-25-595 | 00-09-672 | 00-76-698 |
| 00-78-561 | 00-78-622 | 00-31-670 | 00-25-699 |
| 00-18-564 | 00-25-619 | 00-31-686 | 00-31-700 |
| 00-41-589 | 00-45-631 | 00-31-685 | 00-31-702 |

| | | | |
|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|
| 00-31-710 | 00-43-722 | 00-31-751 | 01-25-018 |
| 00-45-712 | 00-18-721 | 00-31-752 | 01-30-020 |
| 00-57-714 | 00-13-718 | 00-57-749 | 01-09-035 |
| 00-57-716 | 00-76-725 | 00-31-750 | |

Prior to a vote to close the above listed cases, Dr. Silverman inquired as to whether any of the Board members had any personal knowledge that the cases that were being voted on today involve either themselves or a personal friend.

Roll call:

- Dr. Awadalla - No
- Mr. Borgemenke - No
- Dr. Hills - No
- Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
- Dr. Murphy - No
- Ms. Sabat - No
- Dr. Silverman - No

Dr. Silverman then called for a motion to close the cases and approve the enforcement report.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the enforcement report and close the above thirty-nine (39) cases.

Roll call vote:

- Dr. Awadalla - Yes
- Mr. Borgemenke - Yes
- Dr. Hills - Yes
- Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
- Dr. Murphy - Yes
- Ms. Sabat - Yes
- Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

PERSONAL APPEARANCE(S)

Fred S. Glick, D.D.S.

Tom Smith, Investigator

Mr. Smith explained to the Board that a packet of information had been prepared and provided in the Board notebooks regarding the matter of Fred Glick, D.D.S. He summarized the matter for the members by stating that Dr. Glick had been arrested, subsequently searched and charges were filed as a result of the search. Mr. Smith indicated that the charges had to deal with possession of narcotics and aggravated menacing. He stated that when Dr. Glick had been interviewed he had admitted to a chemical dependency problem.

Mr. Smith informed the Board that Dr. Glick had been evaluated by *Shepherd Hill Hospital* and subsequently treated and released with his treatment complete and has entered into an aftercare program with the *Ohio Physicians Effectiveness Program (OPEP)* which includes urine screenings. Mr. Smith stated that Dr. Glick has been charged with a fifth degree felony for drug possession and that the hearing is set for two to three (2-3) weeks. He stated that he is fairly certain that Dr. Glick, if he pleads guilty, will receive treatment in lieu of a conviction. However, he stated that this would not be determined until after the hearing near the end of the month.

Mr. Smith informed the Board that the results from Dr. Glick's latest drug screening which had been taken the previous week, would not be in until the following Tuesday. He stated that the drug screening had taken an inordinate amount of time.

Fred S. Glick, D.D.S.

Dr. Glick thanked the Board for the opportunity to speak with them and then informed them that he felt pretty good. He stated that he had been following the treatment recommendations from *Shepherd Hill Hospital* and was currently complying with his aftercare program requirements. He stated that he had been taking urine screenings on a regular basis and that all of them had come back clean.

Dr. Glick commented to the Board that he had now been sober longer than he had the problem. He stated that things had just crept up on him after eighteen (18)

years of practicing without incident. He stated that he now understands the moral and ethical responsibility that comes with the right to practice dentistry.

Concluding, Dr. Glick requested that the Board please consider that he has done all that was required by the original consent agreement and more. He stated that he is ready to return to the active practice of dentistry and to serving his patients.

Discussion followed wherein Mr. Borgemenke commented that Dr. Glick had another debt in addition to his moral obligation to his patients, that debt being to his employees. He stated that he was fortunate in that he was able to keep his employees working.

Dr. Hills stated that there had been some concern from the Board regarding his drug urine screenings. He stated that was the reason that Mr. Smith had been asked to be in attendance for the last one was to ensure that the process was being performed properly.

Mr. Smith explained that there were still some issues that needed to be resolved with regards to the original consent agreement. He stated that normally OPEP selects the monitor, however, in this case Dr. Glick had selected a fellow associate as his monitor and that there was concern that this monitor had a vested interest in the outcome in this matter, and therefore might not be the best choice.

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Ms. Sabat, to reinstate the license of Fred S. Glick, D.D.S., pending receipt of negative results from his most recent urine screenings.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
 Mr. Borgemenke - No
 Dr. Hills - Yes
 Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
 Dr. Murphy - Yes
 Ms. Sabat - Yes
 Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

Dr. Silverman then turned the floor over to Dr. Lightfoot for the Evidentiary Review in Cases Wherein Notice(s) of Opportunity Were Issued and No Hearing Was Requested.

REVIEW OF CASES WHEREIN NOTICE(S) OF OPPORTUNITY WERE ISSUED AND NO HEARING WAS REQUESTED

Reginald A. Gibson, D.D.S.

Dr. Lightfoot stated for the record:

This is an evidentiary review in the matter of **Reginald A. Gibson, DDS** pursuant to Chapters 119 and 4715 of the Ohio Revised Code. The purpose of this proceeding is to give the Board information about this matter, in lieu of the Administrative Hearing that would have occurred if Dr. Gibson had requested a hearing. The Board's minutes will serve as the record of this proceeding.

Dr. Lightfoot asked if the State would like to present any additional information to support the charges outlined in the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing.

Mr. Angell said yes and called Mr. Tom Smith, Enforcement Officer, to give testimony in this matter. Dr. Lightfoot asked Mr. Smith to raise his right hand and said:

Do you swear that the testimony you are about to give will be the truth so help you God?

Mr. Smith said yes. Upon questioning by Mr. Angell, Mr. Smith identified himself as an Enforcement Officer for the Dental Board and described his duties, which included the recent additional responsibility of taking over the control and/or monitoring of impaired dentists in Ohio. Mr. Angell then asked Mr. Smith to relate the details in this matter. Mr. Smith stated that Dr. Gibson is an impaired dentist who is currently licensed and on probation for prior violations.

Mr. Angell then asked Mr. Smith to identify State's Exhibit #1. Mr. Smith did so, stating that it was the Notice of Opportunity for Hearing that was issued to Dr. Gibson on December 8, 2000, charging him with one (1) count of failure to submit to a random urine screen. He stated that there was an additional count of failure to submit documentation of participation in an aftercare program pursuant to the terms of the previously signed consent agreement.

Mr. Angell then asked Mr. Smith to identify State's Exhibit #7. Mr. Smith stated that State's Exhibit #7 was a letter from the Board informing Dr. Gibson that his license to practice dentistry was suspended for failure to renew on January 1, 1998. Mr. Smith indicated that the letter was dated February 27, 1998 and that the second page of the

Exhibit indicated that the letter had been returned to the Dental Board office on March 20, 1998. Mr. Angell requested Mr. Smith to identify State's Exhibit #8. Mr. Smith did so, stating that it was a Journal Entry from the Hamilton County Municipal Court wherein Dr. Gibson was charged with practicing dentistry without a license on or about April 22, 1998 submitted by Probation Officer Eric Hall. He stated that Mr. Hall documented that Dr. Gibson admitted to practicing without a dental license.

Mr. Angell continued by requesting Mr. Smith to identify State's Exhibit #9. Mr. Smith stated that the Exhibit was a letter of Proposal to Deny Licensure and Notice of Opportunity for Hearing mailed August 8, 1998. He stated that the letter stated Dr. Gibson had applied for and was denied reinstatement of his license to practice dentistry due to the inability to practice due to dependence on drugs and/or alcohol. Mr. Smith stated that eventually Dr. Gibson had been reinstated, subject to terms and conditions set by the Board.

Mr. Angell pointed out State's Exhibit #9 and asked Mr. Smith to identify the document. Mr. Smith stated that it was a letter from the Board notifying Dr. Gibson that his license to practice dentistry in the state of Ohio had been reinstated June 9, 1999, subject to probationary terms. He stated that those terms and conditions referenced were outlined in the July 1998 Consent Agreement.

Mr. Angell then asked Mr. Smith to identify State's Exhibit #2. Mr. Smith indicated that the document was the Consent Agreement requiring Dr. Gibson's license be suspended. He stated that additionally, a subsequent written evaluation from an approved treatment provider that Dr. Gibson is no longer drug or alcohol dependent needed to be submitted to the Board prior to any consideration of Dr. Gibson's return to the active practice of dentistry. Mr. Angell inquired as to whether the evaluation was ever submitted to the Board. Mr. Smith indicated that the Board had received the appropriate documentation.

Mr. Angell asked Mr. Smith to identify State's Exhibit #4. Mr. Smith stated that he had seen similar treatment plans or some type of treatment rendered to impaired dentists, however, these particular documents had been addressed to Mr. McLaughlin, the Board's now retired Enforcement Supervisor. Mr. Angell asked if Mr. Smith had a chance to review these documents. Mr. Smith indicated that he had. Mr. Angell then inquired as to whether Dr. Gibson had completed the required treatment plan. Mr. Smith indicated that he had. Mr. Angell asked if Dr. Gibson had ever complied with the aftercare program and urine screenings. Mr. Smith indicated that he had. Mr. Angell then asked if Dr. Gibson had remained in compliance with the terms and conditions of the consent agreement and treatment plan. Mr. Smith stated that he had not.

Mr. Angell directed Mr. Smith to page 9 of that same exhibit and asked him to explain what it was. Mr. Smith explained that it was a letter from Dr. Kenney, Dr.

Gibson's monitor, who is responsible for or in charge of the monitoring of Dr. Gibson's attendance to aftercare, caduceus or Alcoholics Anonymous (AA) meetings. He stated that the letter was reporting Dr. Gibson's non-compliance with his aftercare programs. Mr. Angell asked Mr. Smith to explain page 10 of that exhibit. Mr. Smith stated that page 10 was a report which indicated that in August 2000, Dr. Gibson had attended two (2) caduceus meetings and missed two (2) caduceus meetings. When asked to explain page 11, Mr. Smith stated it was a similar document to page 10 except it indicated that Dr. Gibson had not attended any caduceus meetings at all in the month of September 2000. Upon Mr. Angell's direction, Mr. Smith went on to explain that page 12 of the exhibit was a letter to himself from Chris Lindskog, RN of *Shepherd Hill Hospital* wherein he had requested confirmation regarding particular drug screenings. Mr. Smith stated that the letter indicated that there were drug screens submitted but none for the dates in question.

Mr. Angell requested Mr. Smith identify State's Exhibit #5. Mr. Smith indicated that it was a letter that he had sent to Dr. Gibson on behalf of the Board indicating that Dr. Gibson had been requested on two (2) separate occasions to submit to random urine screens, however, to this date the Board has not received any results or documentation regarding Dr. Gibson's compliance with this request.

Upon further questioning, Mr. Smith identified State's Exhibit #6 as a letter to the Board from Dr. J. Michael Fuchs of *Dental Care Associates* wherein Dr. Fuchs indicated that there had been attempts to help Dr. Gibson. However, he stated that the letter also indicated that Dr. Gibson had exhibited poor work ethics, some issues of substandard care, and additionally poor attendance. Mr. Smith stated that in his opinion the letter demonstrated typical signs of relapse.

Mr. Angell then directed Mr. Smith back to State's Exhibit #2, page 3, paragraph (h). He asked Mr. Smith if Dr. Gibson has complied with that paragraph of the consent agreement. Mr. Smith indicated that he had not. Mr. Angell asked if Dr. Gibson had complied with paragraph (i) regarding random urine screenings. Mr. Smith stated that Dr. Gibson had complied with approximately one (1) in seven (7) requests for screenings.

Mr. Angell informed the Board that he was finished with his questioning of Mr. Smith. Dr. Lightfoot inquired as to whether any of the Board members had any further questions for this witness. Dr. Awadalla directed Mr. Smith to State's Exhibit #2, page 3 and asked if Dr. Gibson had violated the terms of his probation. Mr. Smith indicated that he had.

Mr. Angell then requested that the Board accept State's Exhibits #1 through #9 into evidence, noting that State's Exhibit #4 would be sealed and therefore not be available to the public.

Executive Session

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Ms. Sabat, to move the Board into executive session pursuant to Ohio Revised Code Section 121.22.(G)(1) to deliberate and consider the charges filed in the matter of licensee Reginald A. Gibson, DDS.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

The Board went into executive session at 4:30 p.m. Dr. Lightfoot did not attend the executive session.

Open Session

The Board resumed open session at 4:40 p.m.

Decision in the matter of Reginald A. Gibson, DDS

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Ms. Sabat, that it is hereby ORDERED:

The license of Reginald A. Gibson, D.D.S. to practice dentistry in the state of Ohio is permanently REVOKED.

This ORDER shall become effective immediately upon receipt of this ORDER.

Discussion followed wherein Ms. Sabat stated that Dr. Gibson was given the opportunity to request a hearing and come before the Board, and he did not do so. She indicated that it was apparent that Dr. Gibson was not complying with the Consent Agreement he entered into with the Board. Ms. Sabat stated that the Board was very concerned and noted the repeated behavior and pattern Dr. Gibson was demonstrating. She indicated that Dr. Gibson just was not making the necessary changes for improvement.

Dr. Awadalla stated that the Board enters into consent agreements for a reason, and that compliance was mandatory. She stated that Dr. Gibson violated the agreements, and that repercussions follow.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
 Mr. Borgemenke - No
 Dr. Hills - Yes
 Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
 Dr. Murphy - Yes
 Ms. Sabat - Yes
 Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

Dr. Lightfoot stated that this concluded the matter and then turned the floor back over to Dr. Silverman.

REVIEW OF ORDER(S) OF DISMISSAL

Ms. Reitz explained that there were two (2) Orders of Dismissal for the Board's review. She stated that both orders were regarding out-of-state dentists who had been audited but had not responded to the request for continuing education documentation. Ms. Reitz informed the Board that Mr. Marshall had difficulty locating the individuals to make them aware of the suspended status of their dental licenses due to not responding to the audit in a timely manner. She stated eventually both dentists, once located, were able to provide the documentation necessary to prove that they were in compliance with the continuing education requirements and therefore it was felt that the original notices of opportunity and the charges contained therein should be dismissed.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to dismiss the charges against Kimberly C. Blakeslee, D.D.S. and George M. Hannah, Sr., D.D.S. based on further mutual discovery.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
 Mr. Borgemenke - Yes
 Dr. Hills - Yes
 Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
 Dr. Murphy - Yes
 Ms. Sabat - Yes
 Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

REVIEW OF PROPOSED CONSENT AGREEMENT(S)

The Board reviewed the proposed consent agreement for **Jerome N. Golub, D.D.S.**, license number 30-01-2464.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the proposed consent agreement with Jerome N. Golub, D.D.S., license number 30-01-2464.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
 Mr. Borgemenke - Yes
 Dr. Hills - Yes
 Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
 Dr. Murphy - Yes
 Ms. Sabat - Yes
 Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

The Board reviewed the proposed consent agreement for **Dwight K. Poole, D.D.S.**, license number 30-01-3745.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the proposed consent agreement with Dwight K. Poole, D.D.S., license number 30-01-3745.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
 Mr. Borgemenke - Yes
 Dr. Hills - Yes
 Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
 Dr. Murphy - Yes
 Ms. Sabat - Yes
 Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

The Board reviewed the proposed consent agreement for **A. Scott Santucci, D.D.S.**, license number 30-01-8923.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the proposed consent agreement with A. Scott Santucci, D.D.S., license number 30-01-8923.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
Mr. Borgemenke - Yes
Dr. Hills - Yes
Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
Dr. Murphy - Yes
Ms. Sabat - Yes
Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

REVIEW OF NOTICE(S) OF OPPORTUNITY FOR HEARING

The Board reviewed two (2) Notices of Opportunity for Hearing. The names of the individuals/licenses were not included in the documents reviewed by the Board. The names of the individuals/licenses have been added to the minutes for public notice purposes.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the notice of opportunity for hearing and forward it to John F. Zak, D.D.S., license number 30-02-0816, case number 00-48-012.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
Mr. Borgemenke - Yes
Dr. Hills - Yes
Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
Dr. Murphy - Yes
Ms. Sabat - Yes
Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the notice of opportunity for hearing and forward it to Sam Oh, D.D.S., license number 30-02-1182, case number 00-76-091.

Roll call vote: Dr. Awadalla - Yes
Mr. Borgemenke - Yes
Dr. Hills - Yes
Dr. Lightfoot - Abstain
Dr. Murphy - Yes

Ms. Sabat - Yes
Dr. Silverman - Yes

Motion carried.

ADJOURN

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to adjourn the meeting until Thursday, February 15th, 2001 at 9:30 a.m. in room 1948, 19th floor of the Vern Riffe Center for Government and the Arts.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

MEETING RESUMED

The Ohio State Dental Board (Board) met in room 1948 on the 19th floor of *The Vern Riffe Center for Government and the Arts*, 77 South High Street, Columbus, Ohio on Thursday, February 15th, 2001, beginning at 9:30 a.m. Board members present were:

Stuart Silverman, D.D.S., *Vice-President*
William J. Lightfoot, D.D.S., *Secretary*
Eleanore Awadalla, D.D.S.
Scott Borgemenke
Edward R. Hills, D.D.S.
T. Michael Murphy, D.D.S.
Lynda L. Sabat, R.D.H.

The following guests were also in attendance: Mary Crawford, Esq. and Robert Angell, Esq., Assistant Attorneys General; Nancy Quinn, Dennis Burns, DDS. and Stacy Wendt of the *Ohio Dental Association* (ODA); Connie Clark, R.D.H., Sandy Held, R.D.H., and Linda Hewetson, R.D.H. of the *Ohio Dental Hygienists' Association* (ODHA); Lili C. Reitz, Esq., Executive Director, Tom Smith, Enforcement Officer, Malynnda Franks, Executive Secretary and other guests.

CALL TO ORDER

Dr. Silverman extended greetings to everyone and noting that there was a quorum present called the meeting to order at 10:02 a.m. He then stated that the Board would continue the agenda from the day before beginning with the *Anesthesia Committee* Report.

ANESTHESIA REPORT

REVIEW OF TEMPORARY ANESTHESIA AND CONSCIOUS SEDATION PRIVILEGES

Dr. Awadalla stated that the following individual has submitted an application for an Anesthesia Permit. She explained that the application was reviewed by the *Anesthesia Committee* and is recommended for approval of temporary privileges:

Dr. Robert D. Frazier
Anesthesia Permit Application

Dr. Gregory M. Fletcher
Conscious Sedation Permit Application

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Dr. Hills, to approve temporary anesthesia privileges for Dr. Frazier and temporary sedation privileges for Dr. Fletcher.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REVIEW OF ANESTHESIA PERMIT APPLICATION(S)

Dr. Awadalla stated that the following individuals have submitted applications for Anesthesia Permits. She stated that the applications have been reviewed by the *Anesthesia Committee* and recommended for approval for the following individuals:

Dr. Manraj S. Bath

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Dr. Hills, to approve this applicant for an anesthesia permit.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REVIEW OF CONSCIOUS SEDATION PERMIT APPLICATION(S)

Dr. Awadalla stated that the following individual has applied for a Conscious Sedation Permit. She explained that the application was reviewed by the *Anesthesia Committee* and is recommended to receive privileges under the appropriate modality:

Dr. David R. Backus - Toledo, Ohio
Approved for all modalities

Dr. Ann L. Griffen, Columbus, Ohio
Oral Sedatio for children 12 years or younger

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve these two (2) applications for receipt of conscious sedation permit privileges for the appropriate modalities.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REVIEW OF CONSCIOUS SEDATION AND ANESTHESIA CONTINUING EDUCATION COURSE(S)

Dr. Awadalla informed the Board that the *Anesthesia Committee* had reviewed and recommended for approval the following course curriculum for continuing education credit for the anesthesia/conscious sedation permit renewal requirements:

"Anesthesia Course" - D.Becker,DDS, J.Phero,DDS, L.Lind,MD, and J.Steiner, DDS

Motion by Dr. Awadalla, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the above course which meets all the established parameters set by the Anesthesia Committee for six (6) hours of continuing education for renewal of anesthesia and conscious sedation permits.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Silverman thanked Dr. Awadalla for her report.

EDUCATION COMMITTEE REPORT

REVIEW OF BIENNIAL CONTINUING EDUCATION SPONSOR APPLICATION(S)

Ms. Sabat stated that the following organizations have applied for approval as biennial sponsors of continuing education for the years 2000-2001 and have been recommended by the *Education Committee* for approval:

Drs. Richard Arnstine and Bernard Friedman
Dennis M. DiPalma, D.D.S.
Michael L. Nippert, D.D.S.

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve the above three (3) applicants as biennial sponsors of continuing education for the 2000-2001 biennium.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REVIEW OF BIENNIAL CONTINUING EDUCATION SPONSOR RENEWAL APPLICATION(S)

Ms. Sabat stated that the following organizations have applied for approval for renewal as biennial sponsors of continuing education for the years 2000-2001 and have been recommended by the *Education Committee* for approval:

Ito & Koby Dental Studio

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve the above applicant as a biennial sponsors of continuing education for the 2000-2001 biennium.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REVIEW OF DENTAL HYGIENE MEDICAL EMERGENCY RECOGNITION COURSE APPLICATION(S)

Ms. Sabat informed the Board that the following permanent sponsor has submitted an application for a dental hygiene medical emergency recognition course. She stated that the *Education Committee* has reviewed the application, determined that it fulfills all the requirements, and recommended the following course for approval:

Dayton Dental Society

Course - "Emergency Medicine" - S.F.Malamed, D.D.S.

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve this application for dental hygiene medical emergency recognition course.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

REVIEW OF SPECIAL REQUEST(S)

Ms. Sabat explained that the *Education Committee* had reviewed a request from Frank C. Williams, D.D.S., Immediate Past-President of the *Ohio Dental Association*. She stated that in his correspondence Dr. Williams was requesting the Board's approval to allow licensees to carry over continuing education hours for the next biennium those who attend courses on substance abuse and infection control at this years Annual Session. Ms. Sabat informed the Board that the *Education Committee* had determined to recommend approval of this request in light of the newly proposed rule changes that the Board may be voting on later today.

Motion by Ms. Sabat, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve the Ohio Dental Association's request for approval to carry-over the continuing education hours in substance abuse and infection control provided at this years Annual Session until the 2002-2003 biennium.

Discussion ensued wherein Dr. Lightfoot commented for clarification, that licensees could not claim the continuing education hours for the courses taken for both bienniums. He stated that if the licensees chose to include these hours in their requirement for this current biennium, then they could not use them towards the new continuing education renewal requirement in the 2002-2003 biennium. Ms. Sabat confirmed that was true and also that this would ease the transition for licensees for the new requirements.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Silverman thanked Ms. Sabat for her report.

LAW AND RULES REVIEW COMMITTEE REPORT

Dr. Lightfoot stated that the Committee had met earlier in the day and continued their review of the radiographer rules and in addition had made the necessary changes to 4715-9-01 and 4715-9-05 the dental hygiene rules, to reflect those changes made to the permissible duties last April. He stated that at the Committee's next meeting in April they would begin drafting rules for the volunteer permit.

Dr. Lightfoot then reminded the Board that at the January meeting the Board had decided to table the decision on the filing of the proposed rules based on the testimony of Elizabeth Squeglia, Esq., who represented the *Ohio Dental Association* (ODA). He informed the Board that the basis of her testimony clarified that according to statute the methods of obtaining required continuing education that the Committee had proposed in 4718-8-01(I) and (J) could not be permitted. Dr. Lightfoot stated that while it was the Board's intention to offer licensees options for obtaining the total continuing education requirement by either taking the *North East Regional Board* (NERB) *Dental Simulated Clinical Examination* (DSCE) or by taking a continued competency model, Ms. Squeglia had pointed out that statute did not authorize the Board to offer these options. Therefore, despite the Board's good intentions, Dr. Lightfoot was recommending that the Board consider the deletion of paragraphs (I) and (J) in the proposed amended rule 4715-8-01.

Dr. Lightfoot continued by stating that other Board members had suggested further changes to this same rule. He then asked Dr. Awadalla to speak to the Board regarding her suggested changes. Dr. Awadalla thanked Dr. Lightfoot and explained to the Board that in an effort to ease the transition of the new requirements regarding substance abuse and infection control, she felt that the maximum number of allowable hours in these categories should be increased from five (5) hours to seven(7) hours. She stated that by allowing the increase it would encourage licensees to take a full-day course whereas, by limiting the maximum amount of five (5) hours of approved continuing education, the Board was encouraging licensees to leave after meeting the maximum requirement. Dr. Awadalla further explained that this would make it easier on the instructors to provide full day courses, as well as the fact that she feels that more education in these areas is better. Therefore, Dr. Awadalla's suggested change was to

increase the maximum allowable hours in paragraphs (B) and (C) from five (5) hours to seven (7) hours.

Dr. Lightfoot then informed the Board that Ms. Sabat had further suggested changes to be made to this rule. He then turned the floor over to Ms. Sabat who began by stating that the *Education Committee* had reviewed and discussed specifically paragraph (B) regarding the substance abuse continuing education. Ms. Sabat stated that the *Education Committee* felt that throughout the discussions it was the intention of the Committee members that this requirement be obtained in a directly interactive presentation format. She stated that during the Committee discussions over the past year, it was felt that providing the ability for immediate feedback and interaction made for better impact of the course content. She then asked Ms. Franks to read the language of the proposed change to section 54715-8-01(B). Ms. Franks read the proposed language as follows:

"(B) Category B: Substance abuse education - A minimum of five percent of the requirement for dentists shall be obtained in a directly interactive presentation format in this category. Course curriculum must include..."

Mr. Borgemenke questioned the other members of the Board as to whether they or the ODA had any desires to change the requirements contained in (I) and (J) in the future. Dr. Lightfoot explained that due to statutory limitations, the Board did not wish to argue about this or pose any unnecessary problems. Dr. Awadalla commented that this issue was hardly worth the argument since Dr. Lightfoot was the only person she knew who could pass any type of competency tests that were proposed in those paragraphs.

For clarification purposes, Dr. Silverman restated that the directly interactive presentation format language was only being added to paragraph (B) and not to paragraph (C). Ms. Sabat explained that there were many valid infection control courses already being provided in self-study formats and therefore, did not wish to discourage providers of those courses. Additionally, she stated that by permitting the licensee to obtain up to a maximum of seven (7) hours of continuing education in substance abuse in a directly interactive presentation format they were also fulfilling the newly proposed requirement in paragraph (A).

Motion by Dr. Lightfoot, second by Ms. Sabat, to final file Ohio Administrative Code Sections 4715-1-01, 02, and 03, 4715-8-02, 03, and 04, 4715-13-01 and 4715-18-01 and to refile Ohio Administrative Code Section 4715-8-01 (Appendix A) with the Joint Committee on Agency Rule Review (JCARR) including the proposed amendments to paragraphs (B), (C) and the deletion of paragraphs (I) and (J).

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Lightfoot concluded by stating that it was the Board's intention that while these rules would become effective during the current biennium, the Board would not enforce the newly proposed continuing education requirements until the 2002-2003 biennium.

Dr. Silverman thanked Dr. Lightfoot for his informative report.

POLICY COMMITTEE REPORT

Dr. Awadalla informed the Board that the *Policy Committee* had cancelled their meeting due to unforeseen circumstances. However, she stated that the Committee has many issues on their agenda and will have a full report to provide to the Board at its next meeting in March.

Dr. Awadalla stated that this concluded her report.

Dr. Silverman thanked Dr. Awadalla for the *Policy Committee Report*.

TREATMENT CENTERS APPROVAL COMMITTEE REPORT

CLEVELAND CLINIC

Dr. Silverman informed the Board that the Committee had reviewed an application from *Cleveland Clinic* and determined that they meet the Board requirements for becoming a treatment provider. However, he stated that Mr. Marshall and Mr. Smith had not yet personally inspected the facility and therefore he would seek approval pending the result of a facility evaluation.

Motion by Dr. Silverman, second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve Cleveland Clinic as a treatment provider for impaired Ohio licensees, pending an acceptable facility evaluation.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

Dr. Silverman continued his report by stating that Ms. Reitz and Mr. Smith would be meeting with Dr. Kenney of the ODA and representatives from the *Ohio Physicians Effectiveness Program* (OPEP) the next day to discuss issues of concern that the Board has, specifically as in such an instance as what the Board just witnessed with Dr. Glick. Dr. Awadalla stated that she is very disappointed with the way the terms of Dr. Glick's consent agreement have been handled. She stated that for him to be able to pick his own monitor is inviting relapse, as the profile of a chemically dependent person is to coerce people into helping them out. She stated that she is very unhappy with the lax rules that OPEP appears to have instituted in this regard.

A brief discussion followed wherein Board members expressed some of their concerns regarding this new relationship with OPEP. Dr. Silverman stated that these issues would be addressed with representatives from OPEP on the next day. He indicated that he had received confirmation from Dr. Bowermaster that he also would make an attempt to be in attendance at the meeting tomorrow.

WAIVER COMMITTEE REPORT

Ms. Sabat informed the Board that there were no new or renewal Hepatitis B Waivers up for review this month.

Dr. Silverman thanked her for her report.

SECRETARY'S EXPENSE REPORT

Dr. Lightfoot reported by stating that he had spent in excess of twenty (20) hours per week attending to Board business.

Motion by Dr. Silverman second by Mr. Borgemenke, to approve the Secretary's expense report.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

OFFICE EXPENSE REPORT

The report of the Board expenditures was reviewed.

Motion by Mr. Borgemenke, second by Ms. Sabat, to approve the expense report and approve payment of the January Board bills.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR'S REPORT

BUDGET

Ms. Reitz informed the Board that the previous evening she had testified in the first round of Budgetary Hearings before the Legislature, starting with the House SubCommittee. She reminded the members that originally the Board had been requesting a sixty-five percent (65%) licensure fee increase in order to cover the requests that she had detailed in the budget proposal. However, she stated that after working with the *Office of Budget and Management* (OBM) and the *Ohio Dental Association* (ODA), that figure has been reduced to a thirty-five percent (35%) increase in licensure fees.

Ms. Reitz briefly informed the Board what had been approved. She state that the Board had received approval for funding for the following:

- The Dental Board's core budget
- One additional Enforcement Officer position (originally the request was for two (2) positions)
- Laptops for the Enforcement Officers (originally the request included reorganization of the Board office including additional office space since the Enforcement Officers were now in the office more often - this was supported by ODA but not OBM)
- QUality Intervention Program (QUIP)
- Publication of one (1) additional Newsletter per year (the original request was for funding for quarterly newsletters in order to better facilitate getting information to licensees)

Ms. Reitz informed the Board that the requests for a Public Affairs Consultant position, increased funding for additional Hearing Officers, and additional funding for one (1) full-time Assistant Attorney General were among those portions of the request that were denied. However, she stated that she had no reason to believe that the request as it is in its current form will not receive the support of the House and Senate Sub-

Committees. Ms. Reitz informed the Board that she was happy to report that representatives of the *Ohio Dental Hygienists' Association* (ODHA) had also provided testimony in support of the Board's budget request.

Ms. Sabat contributed that she had been told of Ms. Reitz's forty-five (45) minute testimony in front of the committee by members of the ODHA. She stated that she wanted the Board to be aware of the expert and informative testimony that Ms. Reitz provided and to inform them, as well as the ODA and ODHA that it is not beyond the scope of the Sub-Committees to remove all supporting fee increases. She reiterated that she thought certain parties should be made aware of that fact, and was pleased that the ODHA showed their support.

PROFESSIONAL MEDIA RELATIONS WORKSHOP

Ms. Reitz continued by informing the Board that the previous week she had attended a three (3) day workshop on Professional Media Relations that had been presented by the Ohio State Highway Patrol. She stated that Mr. Marshall had pulled some strings enabling her to attend and that she had felt privileged to be allowed to participate. Ms. Reitz stated that the presenter was the Public Information Officer for the Highway Patrol and that the workshop was very informative and could only help in future news conferences. She jokingly stated that the workshop could have helped out about a year ago.

ETHICS FORMS

Ms. Reitz concluded her report by informing the Board that they should have received their information forms from the *Ohio Ethics Commission*. She instructed them to complete the forms and submit them to the Board executive office where copies would be made and then forwarded in one packet to the Commission.

CORRESPONDENCE

Dr. Silverman noted that there was no correspondence to address at this meeting.

ANYTHING FOR THE GOOD OF THE BOARD

ACCESS TO CARE

Dr. Awadalla commented that at the previous Board meeting, Dr. Mark Siegal of the *Ohio Department of Health, Bureau of Oral Health Services* had provided an informative presentation on the existing crisis situation in access to dental care among Ohioans. She stated that Dr. Siegal had at one point suggested the possibility of using funding from licensing fees to encourage dentists to provide care in underprivileged areas of the state.

However, she stated that the Board is not in the business to hire and place dentists into certain areas of the state and therefore it would seem reasonable for the Board to attempt to help to address this crisis through other means. Dr. Awadalla commented that these other means could entail providing care through Special Need Programs and through the placement of pro-bono services from disciplined licensees into designated areas of the state.

Dr. Awadalla suggested that Dr. Hills, due to his relationship with MetroHealth Medical Center, be placed in charge of finding programs and facilities throughout the state wherein the Board could utilize this listing of programs when determining types and locations of pro-bono services. She stated that the Board could begin by identifying and establishing a working relationship with these programs and institutions.

Dr. Hills suggested that the Board could begin the process by contacting all of the Dental Societies throughout the state requesting information on facilities in their areas.

Dr. Awadalla continued by stating that the Boards Special Need language was beneficial to these individuals long before the dental hygiene language was changed during the past year. However, she stated, the new language still benefits the private practice and now really has not affected the indigent care issue as the Board and interested parties had hoped.

Continuing on, Dr. Awadalla questioned what the Board would require for long-term care facilities. She stated that they have seen abuse within the system in which healthcare providers sign up and in a group delivery there is a lack of continuity of care. She stated that there needs to be requirements as to who should be going to these facilities and providing care as they have instances wherein dentists show up every one hundred and eighty (180) days but do not follow up on the recommended care. Dr. Awadalla stated that this is a multi-million dollar industry wherein initial examinations and prophies are provided and then the healthcare professional moves on without

completing recommended care. She informed the Board that this is especially prevalent in the long-term care of the elderly and Mental Retardation/Developmentally Disabled (MR/DD) facilities. Dr. Awadalla commented that the unfortunate part of all of this is that these types of persons have no one and no way to protect themselves against such abuses.

Dr. Awadalla then requested if the Board would consider having this issue addressed at the Committee level. She requested that the Law and Rules Review Committee revisit the language and that the Policy Committee take on the task of researching what other states are doing regarding this issue. She concluded by commenting that she did not feel that this was stepping outside the boundaries of the original goals and intentions of the language of the Special Need programs and dental hygiene practice while the dentist is not present.

Dr. Hills commented that there was a whole society of professionals that deal with special needs, the *Association of Hospital Dentists*. He stated that the function of the healthcare provider is clearly defined and that we need to look at these issues and educate ourselves and thereby eliminate a lot of the abuse that is going on within this system.

NEW ANESTHESIA CONSULTANT

Dr. Murphy informed the Board that he wished to discuss the need for a new Anesthesia Consultant since he would no longer be performing those duties due to his appointment to the Board. He stated that this person is currently responsible for the administration of the examination processes for both Anesthesia and Conscious Sedation Permits. Additionally, he informed the members that this person is responsible for setting up a network of examiners and facility evaluators, documentation of examinations and evaluations and for tracking everything from initiation to completion.

Dr. Murphy explained to the Board that he has been providing these services for the past twelve (12) years and suggests that the Board consider dividing these duties between two (2) individuals possibly in Northern and Southern Ohio. He stated that he makes this suggestion based on what he feels could become an administrative nightmare. He informed that Board that he has already established a network of district captains and valid permit holders to provide facility examinations, however, they are currently in need of facility evaluators. Dr. Murphy stated that he could provide the Board with a chart of what is currently in place.

Discussion ensued wherein Dr. Murphy explained the mechanisms behind the review process. Mr. Borgemenke inquired as to the credentials of the evaluators. Dr.

Murphy explained that the evaluators must hold a current permit for the modality that they are reviewing and that over the years he has developed an examination booklet and guidelines for the examination that consists of a failsafe checklist evaluation process. He commented that there are currently five (5) other states including California that are copying this process. He concluded by suggesting that the Anesthesia Committee meet to discuss this issue.

PRESENTATION BY LYNDA SABAT, RDH

Ms. Sabat informed the Board that she would be giving presentations to the dental hygiene students at *Stark State Technical College*, *Cuyahoga Community College*, and *Lorain Community College*. She stated that she would be presenting on responsibilities and charges of the Board and on how to prepare for the NERB examination.

ADJOURN

Motion by Dr. Lightfoot, second by Ms. Sabat, to adjourn the meeting until Wednesday, March 14th, 2001, at 3:00 p.m.

Roll call vote.

Motion carried unanimously.

Stuart Silverman, D.D.S.
Vice-President

William J. Lightfoot, D.D.S.
Secretary

APPENDIX A

4715-8-01 Continuing education requirements

Categories of credit - TO FULFILL THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS SET FORTH IN SECTIONS 4715.141 AND 4715.25, OF THE REVISED CODE THE ~~The~~ licensee is free to select areas of study within the stated categories, not to exceed the maximum number of hours in each category.

- (A) CATEGORY A: Education and scientific courses - A MINIMUM OF TWENTY-FIVE ~~One hundred~~ per cent of the requirement SHALL ~~may~~ be obtained in this category. Educational and scientific courses given by permanent or board-approved biennial sponsors IN A DIRECTLY INTERACTIVE PRESENTATION FORMAT.

(1) "DIRECTLY INTERACTIVE PRESENTATION FORMAT" MEANS A PRESENTATION FORMAT IN WHICH EACH PARTICIPANT IS ABLE TO PROVIDE DIRECT FEEDBACK AND HAVE INTERACTION WITH THE INSTRUCTOR THROUGH A QUESTION AND ANSWER FORMAT IN REAL TIME.

- (B) CATEGORY B: SUBSTANCE ABUSE EDUCATION - A MINIMUM OF FIVE PER CENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR DENTISTS SHALL BE OBTAINED IN A DIRECTLY INTERACTIVE PRESENTATION FORMAT IN THIS CATEGORY. COURSE CURRICULUM MUST INCLUDE CAUSES, PREVENTION, DETECTION, AND TREATMENT ALTERNATIVES. NO

MORE THAN SEVEN HOURS OF CONTINUING EDUCATION CREDIT WILL BE AWARDED IN THIS CATEGORY.

(C) CATEGORY C: INFECTION CONTROL EDUCATION - A MINIMUM OF FIVE PERCENT OF THE REQUIREMENT FOR DENTISTS SHALL BE OBTAINED IN THIS CATEGORY. NO MORE THAN SEVEN HOURS OF CONTINUING EDUCATION WILL BE AWARDED IN THIS CATEGORY.

~~(B)~~(D) CATEGORY D: Supervised self-instruction - A MAXIMUM OF SEVENTY-FIVE ~~One hundred~~ per cent of the requirement may be obtained in this category. Supervised self-instruction must include a testing mechanism supplied by a permanent or board-approved biennial sponsor. The licensee must complete a test and demonstrate a level of comprehension before credit is awarded. Tests must be graded by the sponsor and results returned to the licensee. Supervised self-instruction includes, but is not limited to:

- (1) Graded audio/video courses.
- (2) Graded correspondence courses.
- (3) Graded INTERNET computer courses.

~~(C)~~(E)CATEGORY E: Nonsupervised self-instruction - A maximum of FIVE ~~ten~~ per cent of the requirement may be obtained in this category. Nonsupervised self-instruction includes, but is not limited to:

(1) Home study - Journals and publications.

(2) Correspondence programs.

(3) Educational television.

(4) Audio/video programs.

~~(5) Scientific exhibits.~~

~~(6) Study clubs other than biennial sponsors.~~

~~(D)~~(F)CATEGORY F: Papers, publications and scientific presentations - A maximum of ten per cent of the requirement may be obtained in this category. STUDY CLUBS OTHER THAN BIENNIAL SPONSORS, SCIENTIFIC EXHIBITS, ORIGINAL Original scientific papers authored by the licensee and published in a scientific professional journal, and the original presentation of papers, essays or formal lectures to recognized groups of fellow professionals.

~~(E)~~(G)CATEGORY G: Teaching and research appointments - A maximum of ten per cent of the requirement may be obtained in this category. Licensees involved in teaching or research activities at an accredited institution, at least one-half day per week per academic year, and holding at least a part-time faculty or research appointment.

~~(F)~~(H)CATEGORY H: Table clinics and scientific exhibits - A maximum of FIVE ten per cent of the requirement may be obtained in this category. The original presentation of OR DOCUMENTED VIEWING OF a table clinic or scientific exhibit at a professional meeting will provide a maximum of two hours of continuing education credit per clinic or exhibit.

HISTORY: Eff. 5-11-89